



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,447 | 02/19/2004 | William J. Jones | 47171-00406USPT | 5157 |
| 41230 | 7590 | 12/01/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. C/O JENKENS & GILCHRIST 225 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 2600 CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | | SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A | |
| | | ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 3653 | | |
| DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/782,447 | JONES, WILLIAM J. |
| | Examiner Jeffrey A. Shapiro | Art Unit 3653 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Casanova et al (US 6,021,883).

As described in Claims 1, 17 and 24, Casanova discloses a cash processing system having a compact housing, as shown in figures 7a-c, a currency bill processing device (128), a coin processing device (131) and a central processing unit (CPU) (110) that controls the operation of the apparatus to determine the total value of bills and coins processed. See figures 6a-6f, for example.

Regarding Claims 8, 17 and 18, 26 Casanova discloses the details of sorting and discriminating bills, as is well-known and inherent, referring to (US 5,295,196), incorporated by reference at col. 10, lines 14-17. See also col. 10, lines 18-65 and figures 7a-7c.

Regarding Claims 9 and 25, see Cassanova at col. 10, lines 29-32, which mentions processing bills at high speeds of at least 350 bills per minute.

Regarding Claims 10 and 11, Casanova discloses a touch screen interface (52).

Regarding Claims 12-15, Casanova discloses the details of sorting and discriminating coins, as is well-known and inherent, referring to (US 5,542,880) which

Art Unit: 3653

has been incorporated by reference, at col. 12, lines 12-24. See also col. 4, lines 28-37 and figure 9, which illustrates a sorting head with sorting channels and a rotatable disk.

Regarding Claims 16 and 27, Casanova discloses details of a printer at col. 5, lines 8-15.

Regarding Claims 2-7 and 19-23 note that Casanova's apparatus will still work the same as Applicants' apparatus regardless of its size and dimensions. Note also that any table top of sufficient size would be able accommodate Casanova's apparatus on its top surface.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Howard '823, Korman '536, Curry '880, Sakai '166 are cited as examples of compact bill and coin sorters and Aas '335 is cited as an example of a compact combined coin and bill sorter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAS



November 27, 2006



PATRICK MACKAY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600